

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,749 06/28/2001		6/28/2001	Ikuo Sasazaki	826.1732	3645	
21171	7590	05/02/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				PESIN, B	PESIN, BORIS M	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2174			

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/892,749	SASAZAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Boris Pesin	2174		

		7 01							
	Boris Pesin	2174							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b): ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
	unliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	the of the date						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS									
3. $igotimes$ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		•							
NOTE: <u>The addition of "said judgment unit", "by of further search and consideration</u> (See 37 CFR 1.		e" to the claim langua	ige requires						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
3. Other: **Wisting Vincaid KRISTINE KINCAID									
	OUDED/300	DY DATENT EYANAM	FR						

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100